

SYNAPT HDMS: Improving Ion Mobility Separation by Increasing Drift-Gas Polarizability

Marcos N Eberlin, Priscila M Lalli, Fabiane M. Nachtigall, Maria Francesca Riccio, Gilberto F. de Sa, Romeu J. Daroda, Vanderlea de Souza, Iain Campuzano, Gustavo H. M. F. Souza

Waters Corporation, National Institute of Metrology, Brazil, UNICAMP

This is an Application Brief and does not contain a detailed Experimental section.

Abstract

In this technical note, we show that the polarizability and mass of the drift gas in the travelling-wave (T-Wave™) ion mobility region can have a marked effect on the separation of two butyl-aniline structural isomers.

Introduction

Ion mobility, and more recently SYNAPT™ HDMS™, has been used to analyze and separate a vast range of chemical entities that range from small molecules¹ to polymers², as well as large macromolecular protein complexes.³ However, in certain cases the separative power can be lacking on both the experimental drift tube instrumentation and the SYNAPT HDMS System. An attractive method increases the polarizability of the drift gas

and the separative power of ion mobility. In this technical note, we demonstrate that drift gas polarizability increases the separation power of T-Wave ion mobility and enables the user to separate, identify, and characterize closely related structural isomers. As proof-of-principle examples, the two butyl-aniline structural isomers (Figure 1) were tested and differ only in theoretical collision cross-section (W) by 1 \AA^2 (based in helium ion mobility gas), as shown in Figure 1. Ion mobility separation, as a function of drift gas polarizability and molecular weight, has been demonstrated on an experimental drift tube instrument⁴ with halogenated aniline analogues; however, no research has ever been carried out on a T-Wave-based ion mobility system until now.

Different mono and polyatomic drift gases that range in polarizability and mass were tested to investigate T-Wave ion mobility separation on a SYNAPT HDMS System. The ion mobility drift gases used in this study were helium (He 4.0 mbar), argon (Ar 1.5 mbar), nitrogen (N_2 1.5 mbar), carbon dioxide (CO_2 1.5 mbar), and ethene (C_2H_4 1.5 mbar). The recorded gas pressure are pirani gauge indicated pressures, not absolute pressure measurements.




Figure 1. The isomeric structures of *N*-butylaniline and 4-butylaniline.

Experimental

MS Conditions

MS system:

SYNAPT HDMS

IMS:	He, Ar, N ₂ , CO ₂ , and C ₂ H ₄ 1.5 to 4.0 mbar pulse height 4.5 to 30.0 V (depending on which drift gas was used), 250 m/sec
Trap/transfer:	2.0 e-2 mbar SF ₆

T-Wave ion mobility calibration was performed utilizing a previously documented procedure.⁵ This procedure used calibration standards polyalaline and polyglycine, whose W values have previously been determined utilizing a drift tube instrument.⁶

Alkyl-substituted anilines, shown in Figure 1, represent a family of isomers that has challenged most MS approaches for isomer recognition and resolution.¹ Their EI-MS, CI-MS(/MS), and ESI-MS(/MS)¹ results are usually very similar and fail to distinguish these important constitutional isomers. Here, we describe how a combination of theoretical Ω determination, T-Wave ion mobility and the use of more polar drift gases can be used to routinely distinguish a pair of butyl-substituted aniline structural isomers.

The theoretical collision cross-sections (W) calculated using the MOBCAL Trajectory Method⁸ for *N*-butylaniline and 4-butylaniline are 76.6 Å² and 77.6 Å² respectively (based in helium). This suggests the *N*-butylaniline isomer is the more mobile species and therefore, shows a shorter ion mobility drift time.

Figure 2 demonstrates that the *N*-butylaniline isomer is in fact more mobile. This is in agreement with the theoretical MOBCAL prediction.

Figure 2 also shows the effect of increasing the mass (and size) and polarizability of the drift gas. The individual drift gas masses and polarizability constants are noted in Table 1. It is clearly observed that the use of more massive, polar-polyatomic drift gases, such as carbon dioxide and ethylene, resulted in improved T-Wave ion mobility separation; where helium, the lightest and least polarizable gas resulted in very little T-Wave ion mobility separation.

This improved T-Wave ion mobility separative power afforded by different drift gases has far reaching analytical implications, such as its application in the separation of small molecule metabolites, Chinese herbal medicines, and organometallic synthesis. For example, in a recent publication⁵, researchers were able to separate an ortho- and para-terphenyl ruthenium anticancer drug to 50% valley using nitrogen as the ion mobility drift gas. If one were to use carbon dioxide, or ethene, a significant improvement in ion mobility separation would be achieved,

thus aiding structural isomer characterization.




Figure 2. A comparison of N-butylaniline and 4-butylaniline T-Wave ion

mobility separation in the different drift gases He, Ar, N₂, CO₂, and C₂H₄, listed in order of increasing drift gas polarizability. Annotated drift-time is in msec.

Mobility drift gas	Mass	Polarizability (10 ⁻²⁴ cm ³)
Helium (He)	4.0026	0.2050
Argon (Ar)	39.9480	1.6411
Nitrogen (N ₂)	28.0123	1.7403
Carbon dioxide (CO ₂)	44.0098	2.9110
Ethene (C ₂ H ₄)	28.0538	4.2520

Table 1. The ion mobility drift gases used in this study and their respective masses, and static average dipole polarizability constants.⁷

Conclusion

The T-Wave ion mobility separation capability of the SYNAPT HDMS System was investigated as a function of drift gas polarizability and mass.

We have demonstrated that significantly different T-Wave ion mobility separation of two small butyl-aniline structural isomers can be achieved using more massive and polarizable drift gases, such as carbon dioxide and ethene.

T-Wave ion mobility baseline separation was achieved on two butyl-aniline structural isomers using carbon dioxide as the ion mobility drift gas.

References

1. Benassi *et al.* *J Am Soc Mass Spectrom.* 2009, 20, 269–277.
2. Bagal *et al.* *Anal Chem.* 2008, 80, 2408–2418.
3. Ruotolo *et al.* *Science.* 9th December 2005, 310, 1651–1661.
4. Asbury & Hill *Anal Chem.* 2000, 72, 560–584.
5. Williams *et al.* *J Am Soc Mass Spectrom.* 2009, 20 (6) 1119–1122.
6. <http://www.indiana.edu/~clemmer/> <<http://www.indiana.edu/~clemmer/>>
7. Lide *et al.* *CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics*, 70th ed. *CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL*, 1989.
8. Mesleh *et al.* *J Phys Chem.* 1996, 100, 16082–16086.

720003201, August 2022

© 2022 Waters Corporation. All Rights Reserved.

[Terms of Use](#) [Privacy](#) [Trademarks](#) [Sitemap](#) [Careers](#) [Cookies](#)
[Cookie Preferences](#)