# Waters<sup>™</sup>

#### 응용 자료

# Screening and Confirmation Testing of a Counterfeit M30 Pill Extract Adulterated With Xylazine

#### Michael Wakefield, Jeff Salamat, Alexandra Harvey

Waters Corporation, San Diego County Sheriff's Department Regional Crime Laboratory

#### 본 응용 개요서는 구체적인 실험 내용을 포함하지 않습니다.

#### Abstract

The presence of xylazine in counterfeit M30 pills has been a disturbing trend in drug seizures for the past two years across the United States. Here we describe a workflow to screen and confirm the substances found in a counterfeit M30 pill extract.

#### **Benefits**

- The ACQUITY<sup>™</sup> RDa<sup>™</sup> Detector provides a quick and efficient method to perform a comprehensive screen on seized drug samples
- The combination of accurate mass, short analysis time, a customizable and expandable library, and full scan acquisition with fragmentation provides a high confidence result for drug screening
- The Xevo<sup>™</sup> TQ-Absolute and TQ-S micro Tandem Mass Spectrometers provide excellent sensitivity for drug confirmation analysis

### Introduction

Criminal drug networks are mass-producing fake pills and falsely marketing them as legitimate prescription pills to deceive unsuspecting customers. Many fake pills are made to look like prescription drugs such as oxycodone (Oxycontin<sup>®</sup>, Percocet<sup>®</sup>), hydrocodone (Vicodin<sup>®</sup>), and alprazolam (Xanax<sup>®</sup>); or stimulants like amphetamines (Adderall<sup>®</sup>) as shown in Figure 1. These fake prescription pills are easily accessible and often sold on social media and e-commerce platforms, making them easily available, including to minors. Over the past two years across the United States there has been a disturbing trend in drug seizures where xylazine, a veterinary tranquilizer, is being used as an adulterant in an increasing number of illicit drug mixtures, and has been linked to a growing number of overdose deaths.<sup>1</sup>



Figure 1. Fake M30 pills made to look like prescription drugs such as oxycodone (https://www.dea.gov/onepill).

Previously we have described an LC-Tof method for the screening of seized drugs using the ACQUITY RDa Detector.<sup>2</sup> Seized drug screening utilizing the ACQUITY RDa Detector provides a quick and efficient method to screen a customizable target list of drugs and in addition facilitates the identification of unidentified compounds.

Here we illustrate the use of the ACQUITY RDa Detector for seized drug screening utilizing a dissolve, filter, dilute and shoot sample preparation of a counterfeit M30 pill. An LC-MS/MS system operating in MRM detection mode will be used for confirmation of the identified components using the Xevo TQ Absolute (or Xevo TQ-S micro) Mass Spectrometer coupled to an ACQUITY UPLC<sup>™</sup> I-Class PLUS (FTN) System. Both instruments have excellent sensitivity for this type of semi-quantitative test and provide options for different budgets.

#### Experimental

#### Sample Preparation

A counterfeit M30 pill sample extract was provided by the San Diego County Sheriff's Department-Controlled Substance Unit. The M30 pill was prepared by shaving to produce a powder and approximately 1 mg of powder was dissolved in 1 mL of ethanol prior to filtering with a 0.2 µm PTFE Acrodisc Syringe Filter (Waters p/n: 186009327 <https://www.waters.com/nextgen/global/shop/sample-preparation--filtration/186009327-wwptfeacrodisc-02m-25mm-50-pk.html> ).

Three drops of the filtered solution were diluted in 20% aqueous methanol (Screening Solution) prior to injecting 10 µL onto the UPLC system for screening analysis.

The screening solution was further diluted 1 in 100 using 80/20 (v/v) water/methanol prior to injecting 1  $\mu$ L onto the UPLC system for confirmation analysis (Confirmation Solution).

#### Seized Drug Screening Using the ACQUITY RDa Detector

A 10 µL injection of the Screening Solution was performed using an ACQUITY UPLC I-Class PLUS FTN System. Compounds were separated using ACQUITY HSS T3 1.8 µm, 2.1 x 100 mm (p/n: 186003539 < https://www.waters.com/nextgen/global/shop/columns/186003539-acquity-uplc-hss-t3-column-100a-18--m-21-mm-x-100-mm-1-pk.html> ), with mobile phases of 5 mM ammonium formate pH 3.0 <sub>(aq)</sub> and acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid, over a run time of 9.5 minutes. The ACQUITY RDa Detector was used to detect the compound in positive ion, full scan data (50–2000 *m/z*) with fragmentation. The seized drug screening experiment utilizes the full scan data with fragmentation to facilitate compound identification. A custom target list (library) of 400 compounds was used for the seized drug screening experiment. The waters\_connect<sup>m</sup> Software was used to quickly search the customizable library to identify case work samples by utilizing accurate mass, retention time and compound fragmentation data, as described previously.<sup>2</sup>

## Seized Drug Confirmation and Semi-Quantitation Using Tandem Mass Spectrometry

A semi-quantitative experiment was performed on the M30 pill for eleven of the fourteen identified compounds based on standard availability. A 1 µL injection of the Confirmation Solution was performed using an ACQUITY UPLC I-Class PLUS FTN System. Compounds were separated using ACQUITY HSS T3 1.8 µm, 2.1 x 100 mm (p/n: 186003539 <https://www.waters.com/nextgen/global/shop/columns/186003539-acquity-uplc-hss-t3-column-100a-18--m-21-mm-x-100-mm-1-pk.html> ), with mobile phases of 5 mM ammonium formate pH 3.0 <sub>(aq)</sub> and acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid, over a run time of 4.5 minutes. Detection and semi-quantitation of the compounds was performed using a Xevo TQ Absolute and a Xevo TQ-S micro Tandem Mass Spectrometer operating in positive ionization and MRM detection mode using quantitative and qualitative transitions, as shown in Table 1.

Compound	MRM transition	Cone voltage (v)	Collision energy (eV)	Acquisition time window (min)		
Acetaminophen	152.1>110.1	25	16	0.99-1.49		
4-ANPP	<b>281.1&gt;104.9</b> 281.1>188.06	25 25	32 16	2.1-2.6		
4-methylamino Antipyrine	<b>218.03&gt;55.95</b> 218.03>96.96	25 25	16 12	1.03–1.53		
acetylfentantyl	<b>323.11&gt;104.95</b> 323.11>188.03	28 28	34 24	1.83-2.33		
Caffeine	<b>195.1&gt;42.0</b> 195.1>133.0	30 30	30 20	1.18–1.68		
Fentanyl	<b>337.2&gt;105.1</b> 337.2>188.1	20 20	35 22	2.04-2.54		
Lidocaine	<b>235.2&gt;58.0</b> 235.2>86.1	30 30	37 17	1.43–1.93		
O(p)-fluorofentanyl	<b>355.05&gt;104.96</b> 355.05>188.05	30 30	42 24	2.11-2.61		
Procaine	<b>237.06&gt;99.95</b> 237>119.93	16 16	16 26	1.08-1.58		
Tramadol	<b>264.2&gt;58.10</b> 264.2>246.20	25 30	15 8	1.57–2.07		
Xylazine	<b>221.08&gt;89.93</b> 221.08>163.98	25 25	22 24	1.57–2.07		

Table 1. MRM conditions for the Xevo TQ Absolute and Xevo TQ-S micro (quantifier transitions are shown in bold).

A five-point calibration curve was generated for each compound (except for acetaminophen and caffeine) ranging from 0.5 to 100 ng/mL in 20% aqueous methanol, and a quality control was prepared at a concentration of 35 ng/mL. Acetaminophen and caffeine utilized calibration curves ranging from 1.0 to 250 ng/ml with a quality control standard at 75 ng/ml in 20% aqueous methanol.

External standard quantification was employed for this analysis since the deuterated internal standards for the compounds analyzed were not available at the time of analysis.

### **Results and Discussion**

#### Seized Drug Screening Using the ACQUITY RDa

The screening method identified fourteen presumptive positive compounds (acetaminophen, 4-ANPP, 4methylamino antipyrine, acetylfentanyl, caffeine, despropionyl para fluorofentanyl, fentanyl, lidocaine, O(p)fluorofentanyl, para-fluoro phenethyl 4-ANPP, pheneythyl 4-ANPP, proadifen, procaine, tramadol, xylazine) in the counterfeit M30 pill extract based on a comparison of expected and observed retention time, accurate mass and instrument intensity response. Figures 2a and 2b show the waters\_connect software results obtained from the seized drug screening experiment for fentanyl and xylazine respectively. The observed mass for xylazine was *m/z* 221.1110 with a mass error of 1.3 ppm (0.3 mDa), and the observed retention time was 2.30 min compared to the expected 2.38 min. In addition, the observed mass for fentanyl was *m/z* 337.2272 with a mass error of -0.8 ppm (-0.3 mDa), and the observed retention time was 3.08 min compared to the expected 3.10 min.

#### 2A

<ul> <li>Review Results</li> </ul>									(?) Limit f	aults O Proces	s 🗊 Edit	• X* Too	ols 👻 🕍 🗋 File	•		
Workflow 👻	$\otimes$	S IIII Tray: 1:A,3 I → P <sup>®</sup> -5968 1.8.1 Fentanyl I → P <sup>®</sup> -5968 1.8.1										Y Filters				
		Component Summary 👻											i < # .*	: 🗆		
Workflow	₫ •	Component name	Identification sta	Neutral mass (Da)	Observed m/z	Mass error (mDa)	Mass error (ppm)	Expected RT (min)	Observed RT (min)	Detector counts	Response	Adducts	Expected Fragments F	ound		
ummary Batch Overview		1 4-ANPP/despropionylfent	Identified	280.19395	281.2013	0.1	0.2	3.18	3.14	487470	319707	+H		3		
eized Drug Screen		2 4-methylamino Antipyrine	Identified	217.12151	218.1286	-0.2	-0.7	1.49	1.49	230084	174106	+H		1		
Drugs Found		3 Acetaminophen	Identified	151.06333	152.0705	-0.1	-0.5	5 1.37	1.35	41157	37324	+H		2		
Drugs Found-No Frag Drugs Found >6<10ppm		4 Acetylfentanyl	Identified	322.20451	323.2107	-1.1	-3.4	2.84	2.88	5725	4509	+H		1		
Drugs Found-Below Cutoff		5 Caffeine	Identified	194.08038	195.0873	-0.4	-1.5	9 1.71	1.70	129726	109802	+H		3		
Targets - Not Observed																
Suspect Screen		6 Despropionyl para-fluoro	Identified	298.18453	299.1919	0.1	0.3	3 3.23	3.22	130948	104719	+H		3		
Unidentified Fentanyls Unidentified		7 Fentanyl	Identified	336.22016	337.2272	-0.3	-0.8	3.10	3.08	4420463	2428035	+H		5		
		Chromatograms - Item name: -5968 1.8.1 Channel name: Identified Components	\$×	Item name: -5968 1. Channel name: Fent			Item na	ectra 👻		Channel	name: Low en	ergy : Time	3.0758 +/- 0.0337 minut	es 🕫		
		2e7- Xylazine 2.30 3.01 3.30 isome and filter	nyl	2.5e6-	Fent 3.01	anyl		337.22	159.21129	41.42971 930.7323	9 1228.64937	155	4.48442 1698.44985	8.13		
		0 2 4 Retention tir	6 8 ne[min]	0	2 4 Retention t	6 ime (min)	8 0 0	250	500 7	0 1000	1250	150	0 1750	2000		
		Item name: -5968 1.8.1 Channel name: Fentanyl [Fragment] : (6	\$7.7 PPM) 188.1	Item name: -5968 1. Channel name: Fent				me: -5968 1.8.1 scription: M30 Extr		Channel	name: High en	ergy : Time	3.0758 +/- 0.0337 minut			
		5e5- -3.08 -3.19	4.65 6 8	[counts]	2.34 2.70 2 2 4 Retention 1	4.31 4.65 1		188.14288 337.220	528 159.20555 500 75	0 1000 Observed mas	1250 s [m/z]	150	<ul> <li>Activate with</li> <li>Go to Settings to</li> </ul>	1.1 200		

Figure 2a. waters\_connect Software results for seized drug screening results for fentanyl from the M30 pill analysis.

2B

Review Results									(?) Limit f	aults O Proces	s 🗾 Edit	• X Tool	ls 👻 🖾 📔 File 🕚	-
	$\odot$	Tray: 1:A,3	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	.1	· ⊘ ×y	lazine							<b>V</b> Filters	
Workflow		Component Summary 👻											i < # .º	
Workflow	四 -	Component name	Identification sta	Neutral mass (Da)	Observed m/z	Mass error (mDa)	Mass error (ppm)	Expected RT (min)	Observed RT (min)	Detector counts	Response	Adducts	Expected Fragments Fo	ound
ummary Batch Overview		8 Lidocaine	Identified	234.17321	235.1803	-0.2	-0.9	2.09	2.09	36380	30820	+H		1
ized Drug Screen		9 para-fluoro phenethyl 4	Identified	402.24713	403.2548	0.4	0.9	4.72	4.68	125033	92195	+H		1
Drugs Found Drugs Found-No Frag		10 para-fluorofentanyl	Identified	354.21074	355.2181	0.1	0.4	3.19	3.19	1832052	1168059	+H		4
Drugs Found >6<10ppm		11 Phenethyl 4-ANPP	Identified	384.25655	385.2646	0.7	1.9	4.66	4.65	730004	529966	+H		2
Drugs Found-Below Cutoff Targets - Not Observed		12 Proadifen	Identified	353.23548	354.2432	0.5	1.4	4.29	4.31	1878090	1878090	+H		1
Suspect Screen		13 Procaine	Identified	236.15248	237.1595	-0.2	-0.9	1.58	1.52	35084	30034	+H		3
Unidentified Fentanyls Unidentified		14 Tramadol	Identified	263.18853	264.1958	0.0	0.0	2.36	2.34	34840	28929	+H		1
Unidentified		15 Xylazine	Identified	220.10342	221.1110	0.3	1.3	2.38	2.30	362639	241610	+H		1
		2.5e7 3 2.5e7 3 2.5e7 3 2.30 2.5e7 2.30 3.01 2.30 2.10 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50	tanyi 08 Prosdifen 4.30 6.41 4 6 8 time [min]	Item name: -5966 Channel name: Xy	1.8.1 lazine [+H] : (67.7 2.30	PPM) 221.1110	s collection cases	tra - me:-5968 1.8.1 cription: M30 Extr 221.11099 381.1 250 me:-5968 1.8.1 cription: M30 Extr 221.11091	9268 499.19750 500 75	938.67417 112 50 1000	5.68198 12 1250	87.57232 14		9.95
		te 0 2 Retention					4 o	250	49.22832 500 7	0 1000 Observed mas	1250 s [m/z]	150	Go to Settings to	activ

Figure 2b. waters\_connect Software results for seized drug screening results for xylazine from M30 pill analysis.

## Seized Drug Confirmation and Semi-Quantitation Using Tandem Mass Spectrometry

Both tandem quadrupole instruments provided comparable results for each of the compounds analyzed. Each compound provided a linear response with  $R^2$  values greater than 0.995 over the calibration ranges tested.

Semi-quantitative results for the M30 pill analysis obtained from the Xevo TQ Absolute and Xevo TQ-S micro are also shown in the summary table for all compounds analyzed (Figure 3). Using the Xevo TQ Absolute, fentanyl was detected at a concentration of 7444 ng/mL and xylazine at 913 ng/mL. In addition, 4-methylamino antipyrine (a metabolite of the European analgesic metamizole), 4-ANPP, O(p)-fluorofentanyl, acetaminophen, and caffeine were detected at levels above 500 ng/mL.




Figure 3. Summary of the semi-quantitative results obtained from the Xevo TQ Absolute and Xevo TQ-S micro pill analysis.

Figures 4 and 5 show the semi-quantitative TargetLynx<sup>™</sup> XS results for fentanyl and xylazine, respectively, for the M30 pill using the Xevo TQ Absolute.




Figure 4. TargetLynx results for fentanyl on the Xevo TQ Absolute.




Figure 5. TargetLynx results for xylazine on the Xevo TQ Absolute.

### Conclusion

An example of a screening and confirmation workflow has been demonstrated for the analysis of a counterfeit M30 pill that was found to be adulterated with xylazine. The workflow follows identification recommendations from the Organization of Scientific Area Committees (OSAC) on seized drug analysis utilizing Categories A and B techniques to provide the highest level of selectivity through structural information and chemical and physical characteristics.

LC-Tof analysis using the ACQUITY RDa Detector provides a quick and efficient method to perform a comprehensive screen on seized drug samples. In this example, a complex mixture of fourteen compounds were

presumptively identified, in a pill that should contain one active ingredient. The combination of accurate mass, short analysis time, customizable and expandable library, and full scan data acquisition with fragmentation, provides a high confidence result for the drug screening experiment which facilitates retrospective data analysis when a result is not obtained from the initial target library search.

Confirmation testing using Xevo TQ Absolute or Xevo TQ-S micro Mass Spectrometers provided retention time and MRM semi-quantitative data for the compounds identified. Both mass spectrometers provided excellent levels of sensitivity to detect and quantify the compounds. For the counterfeit M30 pill analyzed, xylazine was detected and quantified at a concentration of 913 ng/mL.

### References

1. https://www.dea.gov/sites/default/files/2022-

12/The%20Growing%20Threat%20of%20Xylazine%20and%20its%20Mixture%20with%20Illicit%20Drugs.pdf <https://www.dea.gov/sites/default/files/2022-

- 12/The%20Growing%20Threat%20of%20Xylazine%20and%20its%20Mixture%20with%20Illicit%20Drugs.pdf
- > Accessed 31 May 2023.
- Michael Wakefield and Erik Todd. Seized Drug Screening using the ACQUITY RDa Detector. Waters Application Note. 720007097. December 2020.

## Featured Products

ACQUITY UPLC I-Class PLUS System <https://www.waters.com/134613317> UNIFI Scientific Information System <https://www.waters.com/134801648> Xevo TQ-S micro Triple Quadrupole Mass Spectrometry <https://www.waters.com/134798856> ACQUITY RDa Detector <https://www.waters.com/waters/nav.htm?cid=135077027> MassLynx MS Software <https://www.waters.com/513662> TargetLynx <https://www.waters.com/513791> 720008060, October 2023



© 2024 Waters Corporation. All Rights Reserved. 이용 약관 개인정보 처리방침 상표 채용정보 쿠키 쿠키 기본 설정